**INTRODUCTION TO CRIMINAL JUSTICE  
Fall 2019**

**EXAM 1 – PART 2**

**DUE BY SEPT 9TH- MIDNIGHT**

* To Be Completed Individually
* Required to be Type
  + Format is Open/Include Content Required
* **Textbook and Class Materia**l are the **only sources** to be used
* Answersthat are plagiarized, or that **do not discuss and explain as required**, or that are late, **will not be graded.**

**Questions – 12 Points Each** (60 Points)

1. Describe and discuss the main methods of measuring crime and include a discussion of advantages and disadvantages for each. (Base Answer on Chapter 3)

Uniform Crime reports- Advantages- Tons of crime information about a lot of things such as crime committed by city, county and people demographics. Disadvantages- police may manipulate crime reports. There is also underreporting and inconsistency.

National Incident Based Reporting System-Contains a more complete measure of crime as they survey many different people in many different areas. Disadvantage-confusing technical jargon in questions which make it confusing for people.

1. Using **THREE** topics, laws, debates (etc.) apply the **crime control and due process models** to **demonstrate** the **controversy** between **“due process”** supporters and **“crime control” supporters** in the specific issues.

This discussion should:

* **include a statement of each issue**
* **a comparison of the models for each issue**
* **a concluding explanation why the models are useful**

The due process model makes it to where people are defended from the harshness of the government. Crime control model is used to clean up crime as quickly as possible. Having an attorney at a trial is an example of due process.

1. Jack is interested in why we need law. ***Using examples, discuss at least three reasons why law is needed in society***. After explaining why law is needed, **discuss 2 sources of modern law.**

Clear links and explanations on for each reason that law is needed. For example, if one reason is to maintain order – explain how the law “maintains” order.

Law is needed in society to keep the peace as without law people could hurt others without any repercussions. Law is needed to make sure everyone is fair and not trying to cheat people in any system. And finally law is needed to make society feel safer. One source of modern law is legislation and court regulation.

1. Based on Chapter 3 (and/or using the UCR/NCVS), **describe the current picture of crime.**  Use at **least 5 statistics or facts** that accurately reflect crime trends today.

The current picture of crime is that crime rate is overall decreasing steadily. Most violent crimes reside in the home. Most weapons are personal weapons. Most offenders are males and most victims are female.

5a. **USE SCENARIO** below **OR** select a case of your own choosing (8 Points)**:**

**Explain each element of the crime** and provide the **best defense justification or excuse** you would recommend to the defendant. If you would not recommend any of the defenses discussed in the textbook, clearly explain why none apply.

**Apply specific details of the scenario** as an example of each element.

1. *Actus reus*.

2. *Mens rea*.

3. *Concurrence*.

4. *Legality*.

5. *Punishment*

**Case:**

Art Kapser and John Gelbor were good friends and coworkers. After work, Art and John went bowling. After John picked up a spare in the seventh frame, the two got into an argument on proper scoring of a spare. John punched Art in the face, knocking him to the floor, and screamed, “If you don’t shut up, I will kill you.” Art left the bowling alley but returned with a .38-caliber revolver and shot John in the back, killing him instantly. Is there a crime? What are the elements of the crime?

**Defense:Yes theres a crime he killed the guy out of anger**

**Support:Mens rea was his anger towards the other guy and there was no legality as its not lawful to murder someone.**

5b. Choose one scenario and fully answer questions presented. Select from Numbers 2,3,4 on page 129 (under “Questions for Critical Analysis”)

For number 2 with emile, one argument could be he had no control over himself which resulted in the injury, but another argument is that he new beforehand that it could happen so therefore he shouldn’t of been driving. It depends on how often the seizures occur but the stronger argument is that he had no clue it was going to happen at that time as it might have been rare of an occurrence.